Selva Demiralp Koç University

Jens Eisenschmidt European Central Bank

Thomas Vlassopoulos European Central Bank The impact of negative rates on bank balance sheets: Evidence from the euro area

Introduction and motivation

- Are negative policy rates "special" as regards their transmission through the banking system?
- Standard literature on MTM (interest rate channel, credit channel, risk-taking channel) silent on this question
- Study euro area bank balance sheet adjustment in the face of the introduction of negative deposit facility rate

Overview

- 1 Why might negative rates be "special"?
- 2 Bank adjustment in the face of negative rates
- The introduction of a negative policy rate in the euro area
- 4 APP, excess liquidity and negative rates
- 5 Empirical strategy
- 6 Empirical results
- 7 Conclusions and way forward

Why might negative rates be "special"?


There are various plausible frictions that may impart "specialness" to negative rates

- In principle, what should matter is the spread between the return on assets and the WACC
- The level of interest rates is relevant to the extent that it affects the spread:
 - Slope of the yield curve affects intermediation margins <u>but this is not unique to</u> <u>negative rates</u>
 - Pricing of retail deposits (mark-down on market rates) and zero lower bound

Why might negative rates be "special"?

- Institutional aspects:
 - Internal bank rules
 - Legal restrictions or uncertainty
 - Asymmetric tax treatment of negative/positive interest income
 - Formulation of existing financial contracts (money market funds, FRNs)
 - Operational problems (IT systems etc.)
- The plethora of possible frictions, led us to expect a more significant reaction to excess liquidity the more pervasive the holdings of it in any one country are.

Possible adjustment channels to reduce excess liquidity



Bank adjustment in the face of negative rates


Adjustment is, however, not seamless

- Excess liquidity circulates in a closed system, so on aggregate cannot be eliminated by banks except through:
 - Acquisition of banknotes (costly)
 - Repayment of borrowing from the Eurosystem (distribution matters)
 - Increase in reserve requirements through extension of loans (very drawn out)
- Adjustment is constrained by:
 - Regulation (e.g. capital and liquidity requirements)
 - Availability of other assets to be acquired (e.g. demand for bank loans in the economy)
 - Banks' business models (slow to adapt)

The rate cut and its transmission to money market rates

- Formally introduced in June 2014
- Cut of deposit facility to -10 bps (-20 bps in September 2014 and -30 bps in December 2015)
- Cut affects remuneration of current account holdings in excess of RR and deposit facility
- Initially pass-through to money market rates was incomplete → consistent with (some) banks trying to avoid trading at negative rates


Repo curve (GC pooling) on several key dates (percentages per annum)



Source: Bloomberg.


APP increased size, duration and, therefore, expected cost of negative rates

Projected excess liquidity (EUR bn)



Sources: ECB, ECB calculations.

Distribution of excess liquidity (shares of total excess liquidity)



Sources: ECB, ECB calculations.

Empirical strategy

Identification exploits cross-sectional variation in motivation for adjustment

- Identification of effects caused by negative rates is blurred by the confluence of MP measures, which are common across banks
- Intensity of motivations for adjustment to negative rates depends on the size of each bank's excess liquidity
- Cross-sectional variation in the intensity of the motivations → identification
- Approach requires the use of micro data
- Expectation of continued volume also matters → banks that typically end up with high excess liquidity have reinforced motivations

Implementation of the strategy

Data

- Bank-level balance sheet and interest rate data (IMFI) matched with data on banks' "exposure" to the Eurosystem
- Sample: Aug-2007 May 2015 (one full year of negative rates)

Empirical approach

- Panel fixed effects (bank and time fixed effects)
- Estimate models of the following type:

$$Y_{i,t,} = T_t + B_i + \beta_0 Y_{i,t-1} + \beta_1 EL_{i,t-1} (1 - D^{NIR}) + \beta_2 EL_{i,t-1} (D^{NIR}) + \Gamma X_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

where *Y* denotes the purchases of government bonds, the extension of loans to the NFPS or the change in wholesale funding (ratios over main assets) and *X* is a vector of bank-specific and macro controls

Adjustment through extension of loans

- Evidence of significant adjustment to excess liquidity in negative rate period for loan extension
- Results driven by banks in less-vulnerable countries and by listed banks
 - "Vulnerable countries" refers to Ireland, Greece, Spain, Italy, Cyprus, Portugal and Slovenia
- Banks that typically have high excess liquidity drive the adjustment → identification reinforced
- Adjustment is stronger if source of excess liquidity is higher deposits and if they are better capitalised

Dependent variable: Lending to households and NFCs

	l.	II.	III.	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.
	Full sample	Vulnerable countries	Less vulnerable countries	Highest EL	Highest EL in less vulnerable countries	Non-listed	Listed
1. Lagged dependent variable	0.00	-0.01	-0.02	0.01	-0.02	0.04	-0.01
	0.04	-0.64	-1.07	0.28	-0.58	1.51	-0.68
2. $EL \ ratio_{t-1} \times (1-D^{NIR})$	0.0002**	-0.02	0.0002**	0.0002**	0.0003**	-0.02**	0.0002**
	7.05	-1.28	12.96	9.21	18.74	-2.20	7.75
3. $EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR})$	0.00	-0.27**	0.01**	0.01*	0.01**	-0.11	0.01**
	0.66	-2.39	2.56	1.90	2.03	-1.03	2.40
4. Bank controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5. Macro controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6. Bank fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7. Time fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of cross sections	180	64	116	40	30	70	110
Adjusted R ²	0.12	0.22	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.19	0.10

Regressions include a constant. t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence. Regressions include cross section and period fixed effects as well as the following controls:

 $Liquidity\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Leverage\ ratio_{i,t-1}, BLS\ demand_t, BLS\ credit\ standards_t, r_{i,t-1}^{Loan}, Core\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Borrowing\ ratio_{i,t-1}, \log(Assets)_{i,t-1}, \log(IP)_{j,t-12}\ , Wholesale\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Borrowing\ ratio_{i,t-1}$

Empirical results: Lending to households and NFCs

Robustness check 1: are results driven by high excess liquidity banks?

		I.	II.
		Less vulnerable countries	Listed
1.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} imes (1-D^{NIR})$	0.0002**	0.0002**
		12.93	7.72
2.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1}\times (D^{NIR})\times (1-D^{EL})$	0.05	0.02
		0.95	0.31
3.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR}) \times (D^{EL})$	0.01**	0.01**
		2.56	2.39
	Number of cross sections	116	110

Regressions include a constant. t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence. Regressions include cross section and period fixed effects as well as the following controls: Liquidity $ratio_{i,t-1}$, Leverage $ratio_{i,t-1}$, BLS $demand_t$, BLS credit $standards_t$, $r_{i,t-1}^{Loan}$, $core\ ratio_{i,t-1}$, $borrowing\ ratio_{i,t-1}$, $bog(Assets)_{i,t-1}$, $bog(IP)_{i,t-12}$, $wholesale\ ratio_{i,t-1}$, $bond\ ratio_{i,t-1}$

Empirical results: Lending to households and NFCs

Robustness check 2: the role of deposits and capital

	Banks in less vulnerable countries	l.	II.	III.
1.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (1-D^{NIR})$	0.0002**	0.0002**	0.0002**
		12.93	12.89	12.90
2.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR}) \times (1-D^{EL})$	0.05	0.05	0.05
		0.95	0.98	1.03
3.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR}) \times (D^{EL})$	0.01**	0.01**	0.01**
		2.56	2.48	2.44
4.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR}) \times (D^{EL}) \times (D^{Dep})$		0.04**	0.04**
			3.02	3.07
5.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR}) \times (D^{EL}) \times (D^{Lev})$			0.21*
				1.88
	Number of cross sections	116	116	116

Regressions include a constant. t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence. Regressions include cross section and period fixed effects as well as the following controls: Liquidity $ratio_{i,t-1}$, Leverage $ratio_{i,t-1}$, BLS $demand_t$, BLS credit $standards_t$, $r_{i,t-1}^{Loan}$, $Core\ ratio_{i,t-1}$, $Borrowing\ ratio_{i,t-1}$, $Bog(Assets)_{i,t-1}$, $Bog(IP)_{j,t-12}$, $Bog(IP)_{j,t-12}$, $Bog(IP)_{j,t-13}$, $Bog(IP)_{j,t-14}$, Bog(

Adjustment through reduction in wholesale funding

- Evidence of significant adjustment to excess liquidity in negative rate period also for reduction in wholesale funding
- Results driven by banks in less-vulnerable countries
- Both listed and non-listed banks show significant adjustment
- Banks that typically have high excess liquidity drive the adjustment → identification reinforced

Dependent variable: Wholesale funding flows

	l.	II.	III.	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.
	Full sample	Vulnerable countries	Less vulnerable countries	Highest EL	Highest EL in less vulnerable countries	Non-listed	Listed
1. Lagged dependent variable	-0.12**	-0.04	-0.17**	-0.10*	-0.07	-0.11**	-0.13**
	-3.95	-1.34	-5.19	-1.92	-1.25	-2.64	-3.41
2. $EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (1-D^{NIR})$	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.05*	0.02*
	-0.10	-0.26	-0.34	-0.68	-0.85	-2.22	1.80
3. $EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR})$	-0.10**	-0.05	-0.08**	-0.10**	-0.09	-0.12**	-0.12**
	-3.19	-0.45	-2.91	-2.04	-1.42	-3.64	-2.26
4. Bank controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5. Macro controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6. Bank fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7. Time fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of cross sections	137	50	87	24	18	67	70
Adjusted R ²	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05

Regressions include a constant. t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence. Regressions include cross section and period fixed effects as well as the following controls:

 $Liquidity\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Leverage\ ratio_{i,t-1}, BLS\ demand_t, \Big(r_{j,t-1}^{2y} - r_{i,t-1}^{Deposit}\Big), Core\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Borrowing\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Rating_{i,t-1}, Dlog(IP)_{j,t-1}, Vol(IP)_{j,t-1}, Loan\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Bond\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Core\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Dlog(IP)_{j,t-1}, Vol(IP)_{j,t-1}, Loan\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Dlog(IP)_{j,t-1}, Vol(IP)_{j,t-1}, Core\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Dlog(IP)_{j,t-1}, Dlog(IP)_{j,t-1}, Dlog(IP)_{j,t-1}, Dlog(IP)_{$

Empirical results: Wholesale funding flows

Robustness check: are results driven by high excess liquidity banks?

		I.	II.	III.	IV.
		Full sample	Less vulnerable countries	Non-listed	Listed
1.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} imes (1-D^{NIR})$	0.00	-0.01	-0.05**	0.02*
		-0.11	-0.33	-2.21	1.73
2.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR}) \times (1-D^{EL})$	-0.03	-0.11	-0.22	0.12
		-0.26	-0.66	-1.09	0.91
3.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR}) \times (D^{EL})$	-0.10**	-0.08**	-0.12**	-0.14**
		-3.26	-2.89	-3.49	-2.72
	Number of cross sections	137	87	67	70

Regressions include a constant. t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence. Regressions include cross section and period fixed effects as well as the following controls: Liquidity $ratio_{i,t-1}$, Leverage $ratio_{i,t-1}$, BLS $demand_t$, $\binom{r_i^{2y}}{r_i^{t-1}} - r_{i,t-1}^{Deposit}$, Core $ratio_{i,t-1}$, Borrowing $ratio_{i,t-1}$, Rating $_{i,t-1}$, $Dlog(IP)_{i,t-1}$, $Vol(IP)_{i,t-1}$, Loan $ratio_{i,t-1}$, Bond $ratio_{i,t-1}$

Adjustment through holdings of sovereign bonds

- Evidence of significant adjustment to excess liquidity in negative rate period
- Results driven by banks in less-vulnerable countries and by non-listed banks
- Banks that typically have high excess liquidity drive the adjustment → identification reinforced
- Adjustment is in holdings of non-domestic bonds

Dependent variable: Government bond purchases

		l.	II.	III.	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.
		Full sample	Vulnerable countries	Less vulnerable countries	Highest EL	Highest EL in less vulnerable countries	Non- listed	Listed
1.	Lagged dependent variable	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.00
		1.09	1.40	0.47	0.59	0.58	1.27	0.08
2.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (1-D^{NIR})$	0.00	-0.01	0.01*	0.01**	0.01**	0.01	0.01
		1.27	-0.22	1.87	2.61	2.74	1.47	1.28
3.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} imes (D^{NIR})$	0.05**	0.12	0.04*	0.04	0.05	0.06**	0.05
		2.21	0.76	1.71	1.09	1.60	2.64	1.37
4.	Bank controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5 .	Macro controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Bank fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Time fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Number of cross sections	139	52	87	25	18	67	72
	Adjusted R ²	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02

Regressions include a constant. t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence. Regressions include cross section and period fixed effects as well as the following controls:

 $Liquidity\ ratio_{i,t-1},\ Leverage\ ratio_{i,t-1}, r_{i,t-1}^{Loan}-r_{i,t-1}^{10y}, Core\ ratio_{i,t-1}, \log(Assets)_{i,t-1}, Rating_{i,t-1}, \Delta\log(IP)_{j,t-1}, Loan\ ratio_{i,t-1}\ and\ Wholesale\ ratio_{i,t-1}$

Empirical results: Government bond purchases

Robustness check: are results driven by high excess liquidity banks?

		I.	II.
		Less vulnerable countries	Non-listed
1.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (1-D^{NIR})$	0.004*	0.01
		1.86	1.47
2.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR}) \times (1 - D^{EL})$	0.07	0.03
		1.14	0.31
3.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR}) \times (D^{EL})$	0.04*	0.06**
		1.68	2.66
	Number of cross sections	87	67

t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence. Regressions include cross section and period fixed effects as well as the following controls: Liquidity $ratio_{i,t-1}$, Leverage $ratio_{i,t-1}$, $r_{i,t-1}^{Loan} - r_{i,t-1}^{10y}$,

 $\textit{Core ratio}_{i,t-1}, \log(\textit{Assets})_{i,t-1}, \textit{Rating}_{i,t-1}, \Delta \log(\textit{IP})_{j,t-1}, \textit{Loan ratio}_{i,t-1} \text{ and } \textit{Wholesale ratio}_{i,t-1}$

Conclusions and way forward

- We find evidence that banks' reaction to the negative rate is indeed special:
 - Extension of loans to the non-financial private sector
 - Lower levels of wholesale funding
 - Significantly higher acquisitions of (non-domestic) sovereign bonds
- This reaction is driven by banks in less vulnerable countries and in particular by those that hold large amounts of excess liquidity.
- These results can be seen as suggesting that the **negative** deposit facility rate has acted as an empowerment to the ECB's large-scale asset purchases.
- Further interesting avenues to be explored:
 - Adjustment via holdings of non-euro area assets
 - Impact on bank profitability and loan pricing

Background

Dependent variable: Government bond purchases

		I.	II.	III.	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.
		Full sample	Vulnerable countries	Less vulnerable countries	Highest EL	Highest EL in less vulnerable countries	Non-listed	Listed
1.	Lagged dependent variable	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.00
		1.09	1.40	0.47	0.59	0.58	1.27	0.08
2.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (1-D^{NIR})$	0.00	-0.01	0.01*	0.01**	0.01**	0.01	0.01
		1.27	-0.22	1.87	2.61	2.74	1.47	1.28
3.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} imes (D^{NIR})$	0.05**	0.12	0.04*	0.04	0.05	0.06**	0.05
		2.21	0.76	1.71	1.09	1.60	2.64	1.37
4.	$Liquidity\ ratio_{t-1}$	-0.01**	-0.01**	0.00*	-0.01	0.00	-0.01**	-0.004**
		-2.49	-2.33	-1.68	-1.49	-0.62	-2.12	-2.40
5.	Leverage $ratio_{t-1}$	-0.01	0.00	-0.02*	-0.03*	-0.03	-0.01	-0.01
		-0.73	-0.58	-1.80	-1.64	-1.43	-0.63	-0.73
6.	$r_{t-1}^{Loan} - r_{t-1}^{10y}$	0.00	0.00	0.0002*	0.00	0.00	-0.0002**	0.00
		-1.27	-0.33	1.89	1.25	1.20	-2.02	-0.37
7.	$Core\ ratio_{t-1}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01*
		0.53	0.40	0.50	-1.01	-0.27	-1.00	1.68
8.	$\log(Assets)_{t-1}$	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001**	0.00	0.00	-0.002**	-0.001**
		-2.15	-2.12	-2.26	-1.59	-1.44	-2.08	-1.93
9.	$Rating_{t-1}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0003*	-0.0001*	0.00
		0.16	1.27	1.60	1.43	1.80	-1.62	0.63
10.	$\Delta \log(IP)_{t-1}$	0.00	0.00	0.01*	0.00	0.01	-0.01	0.01**
		0.98	-0.56	1.91	-0.15	0.83	-1.43	2.74
11.	Loan ratio _{t-1}	-0.01	0.02	-0.02	-0.03	-0.07**	-0.01	-0.01
		-0.63	0.73	-0.87	-0.95	-2.03	-0.36	-0.62
12.	<i>Wholesale ratio_{t-1}</i>	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		-1.04	-0.56	-1.17	0.10	0.10	-0.72	-0.68
13.	Number of cross sections	139	52	87	25	18	67	72
14.	Adjusted R ²	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02

Regressions include a constant. t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence. Regressions include cross section and period fixed effects.

Dependent variable: Purchases of non-domestic government bonds

		l.	II.	III.	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.
		Full sample	Vulnerable countries	Less vulnerable countries	Highest EL	Highest EL in less vulnerable countries	Non- listed	Listed
1.	Lagged dependent variable	-0.04	0.02	-0.07	-0.09	-0.17**	0.02	-0.07
		-1.06	0.33	-1.49	-1.01	-2.08	0.63	-1.43
2.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (1-D^{NIR})$	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01**	0.00
		1.07	0.69	0.75	0.53	0.76	2.42	0.29
3.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} imes (D^{NIR})$	0.03*	0.10	0.03	0.04*	0.05**	0.04*	0.03
		1.76	1.23	1.37	1.79	2.06	1.67	1.03
4.	Bank controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	Macro controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Bank fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Time fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Number of cross sections	139	52	87	25	18	67	72
	Adjusted R ²	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.4	0.06	0.02	0.01

Regressions include a constant. t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence. Regressions include cross section and period fixed effects as well as the following controls:

 $Liquidity\ ratio_{i,t-1}, Leverage\ ratio_{i,t-1}, r_{i,t-1}^{Loan} - r_{i,t-1}^{10y}, Core\ ratio_{i,t-1}, \log(Assets)_{i,t-1}, Rating_{i,t-1}, \Delta \log(IP)_{j,t-1}, Loan\ ratio_{i,t-1}\ and\ Wholesale\ ratio_{i,t-1}$

Dep. variable: Non-domestic Gov. bond purchases

		I.	II.	III.	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.
		Full sample	Vulnerable countries	Less vulnerable countries	Highest EL	Highest EL in less vulnerable countries	Non-listed	Listed
1.	Lagged dependent variable	-0.04	0.02	-0.07	-0.09	-0.17**	0.02	-0.07
		-1.06	0.33	-1.49	-1.01	-2.08	0.63	-1.43
2.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (1-D^{NIR})$	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01**	0.00
		1.07	0.69	0.75	0.53	0.76	2.42	0.29
3.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR})$	0.03*	0.10	0.03	0.04*	0.05**	0.04*	0.03
		1.76	1.23	1.37	1.79	2.06	1.67	1.03
4.	$Liquidity\ ratio_{t-1}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		-1.31	-0.36	-1.23	0.97	1.01	-1.29	-0.86
5.	Leverage $ratio_{t-1}$	0.00	0.002**	-0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		0.66	2.12	-1.13	-1.03	-0.74	0.39	0.42
6.	$r_{t-1}^{Loan} - r_{t-1}^{10y}$	0.00006**	0.00	0.0001*	0.0001**	0.0002*	0.00	0.00006*
		2.32	0.84	1.79	2.61	1.91	0.64	1.84
7.	$Core\ ratio_{t-1}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.002*	0.00	0.00	0.00
		1.02	0.85	0.49	-1.89	-1.39	-0.20	1.08
8.	$\log(Assets)_{t-1}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		-1.20	-0.68	-1.27	-1.57	-1.11	-1.11	-1.02
9.	$Rating_{t-1}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		-0.03	-1.39	1.33	-0.08	1.29	-1.53	0.09
10.	$\Delta \log(IP)_{t-1}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		1.12	1.56	0.29	-0.37	-0.76	0.16	1.40
11.	Loan ratio _{t-1}	0.00	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	0.01	0.00
		0.24	-0.53	0.35	-0.90	-1.00	0.90	-0.26
12.	<i>Wholesale ratio_{t-1}</i>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
		0.05	-0.07	-0.17	0.69	1.58	-0.61	0.90
13.	Number of cross sections	139	52	87	25	18	67	72
14.	Adjusted R ²	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.4	0.06	0.02	0.01

Regressions include a constant. t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence. Regressions include cross section and period fixed effects.

Dependent variable: Lending to NFPS

		I.	10,11119	III.	IV.	V.	VI.	VII.
		Full sample	Vulnerable countries	Less vulnerable countries	Highest EL	Highest EL in less vulnerable countries	Non-listed	Listed
1. Lagged dependent val	riable	0.00	-0.01	-0.02	0.01	-0.02	0.04	-0.01
		0.04	-0.64	-1.07	0.28	-0.58	1.51	-0.68
2. $EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (1-D^{NIR})$		0.0002**	-0.02	0.0002**	0.0002**	0.0003**	-0.02**	0.0002**
		7.05	-1.28	12.96	9.21	18.74	-2.20	7.75
3. $EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR})$		0.00	-0.27**	0.01**	0.01*	0.01**	-0.11	0.01**
		0.66	-2.39	2.56	1.90	2.03	-1.03	2.40
4. Liquidity ratio $_{t-1}$		0.003**	0.01**	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.004**
		2.32	2.58	1.27	0.93	-0.08	0.27	2.39
5. Leverage $ratio_{t-1}$		0.01	0.00	0.03**	0.02	0.05**	0.02	0.01
		0.44	0.69	2.21	0.64	3.37	1.24	0.39
6. BLS $demand_t$		0.00	0.00001*	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		0.68	1.68	0.36	0.41	0.37	1.62	0.07
7. BLS credit standards _t		0.00002**	0.00	0.00002**	0.00003**	0.00003**	0.00002**	0.00002**
		4.14	-1.05	2.10	1.93	1.93	3.37	2.49
8. r_{t-1}^{Loan}		-0.0002**	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		-2.15	0.86	-0.32	-0.58	-0.06	-0.99	-1.35
9. Core $ratio_{t-1}$		0.004*	0.01**	0.00	0.01	0.01**	0.00	0.01*
		1.66	3.59	0.95	1.22	3.41	0.60	1.79
10. Borrowing ratio $_{t-1}$		-0.003**	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.001*	-0.01*	0.00
		-2.06	-0.03	0.73	-0.79	1.77	-1.74	-1.49
11. $\log(Assets)_{t-1}$		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0002**	0.001**	-0.001*	0.00
		0.49	-0.91	0.56	1.94	2.96	-1.91	0.92
12. $\log(IP)_{t-12}$		0.01**	-0.01**	0.00	0.01*	0.00	0.00	0.004*
		2.69	-2.00	0.73	1.71	0.46	1.37	1.79
13. Wholesale $ratio_{t-1}$		0.01**	0.00	0.004**	0.01**	0.003**	0.00	0.01**
		5.48	0.76	2.38	2.70	1.97	0.50	5.07
14. Bond $ratio_{t-1}$		-0.02	0.00	-0.03*	-0.02	-0.03**	0.00	-0.02*
		-1.60	0.23	-1.69	-1.26	-1.93	0.05	-1.87
15. Number of cross section	ons	180	64	116	40	30	70	110
16. Adjusted R ²		0.12	0.22	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.19	0.10

Regressions include a constant, cross section and period fixed effects. t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence.

Dependent variable: Wholesale funding flows

		l.	II.	III.	IV.	V	VI.	VII.
		Full sample	Vulnerable countries	Less vulnerable countries	Highest EL	Highest EL in less vulnerable countries	Non-listed	Listed
1.	Lagged dependent variable	-0.12**	-0.04	-0.17**	-0.10*	-0.07	-0.11**	-0.13**
		-3.95	-1.34	-5.19	-1.92	-1.25	-2.64	-3.41
2.	$ELratio_{t-1} \times (1-D^{NIR})$	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.05*	0.02*
		-0.10	-0.26	-0.34	-0.68	-0.85	-2.22	1.80
3.	$EL\ ratio_{t-1} \times (D^{NIR})$	-0.10**	-0.05	-0.08**	-0.10**	-0.09	-0.12**	-0.12**
		-3.19	-0.45	-2.91	-2.04	-1.42	-3.64	-2.26
4.	Liquidity ratio $_{t-1}$	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.00
		-1.31	-0.56	-1.40	0.15	0.10	-0.89	-0.31
5.	Leverage ratio_{t-1}	0.01	0.02*	0.04	0.04	0.03	-0.02	0.03**
		0.98	1.90	1.08	0.69	0.40	-0.96	2.09
6.	BLS $demand_t$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00004*	0.00	0.00	0.00
		0.38	-0.58	0.32	1.97	1.18	0.06	-0.54
7.	$r_{j,t-1}^{2y} - r_{i,t-1}^{Deposit}$	0.00	0.0006*	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	,,,,, -	0.27	1.86	-0.65	-0.37	-0.43	1.16	-0.43
8.	Core ratio_{t-1}	0.03**	0.04**	0.03**	0.01	0.01	0.04**	0.03**
	, ,	3.92	2.93	3.32	0.74	0.60	3.68	3.10
9.	Borrowing ratio $_{t-1}$	0.02**	0.03*	0.02	-0.01	-0.01	0.02	0.02
	v v i	1.96	1.89	1.10	-1.11	-0.69	1.51	1.56
10.	$Rating_{t-1}$	-0.0006**	-0.0006*	-0.0005**	0.00	-0.0007**	0.00	-0.0009**
	, i	-2.68	-1.66	-2.31	-0.93	-2.56	-1.40	-2.78
11.	$\Delta \log(IP)_{t-1}$	0.01	0.00	0.01	-0.04**	-0.06*	0.01	0.01
		0.71	0.15	0.21	-1.94	-1.89	0.67	0.57
12.	$Vol(IP)_{t-1}$	-0.0004*	0.00	-0.001**	-0.001*	-0.001**	0.00	-0.0007**
40		-1.78	0.41	-2.18	-1.87	-3.14	0.39	-3.39
13.	Loan $ratio_{t-1}$	0.12	0.29**	0.06	0.14*	0.05	0.15*	0.09
11		1.86	2.56	0.88	1.64	0.52	1.75	1.04
14.	Bond $ratio_{t-1}$	0.19**	0.24	0.16*	0.23**	0.28**	0.26	0.12**
45	N. I. C. C.	2.01	1.44	1.89	2.15	2.01	1.46	2.04
15.	Number of cross sections	137	50	87	24	18	67	70
16.	Adjusted R ²	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05

Regressions include a constant, cross section and period fixed effects. t-ratios under coefficient estimates. **/* reflects significance at 95/90 percent level of confidence.

Summary statistics

	p25	p50	p75	mean	sd	N
Gov. Bond ratio	-0.0354	0.0000	0.0725	0.0219	1.2627	22,034
Domestic Gov. Bond ratio	-0.0087	0.0000	0.0340	0.0250	0.9532	22,067
Non — Domestic Gov. Bond ratio	-0.0012	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0032	0.7730	22,034
Loans ratio	-0.1458	0.0176	0.2793	0.0566	1.6961	22,116
EL ratio	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.048	1.304	19,174
Liquidity ratio	0.218	0.316	0.459	0.352	0.209	22,201
Leverage ratio	0.042	0.067	0.101	0.080	0.204	22,201
$r^{Loan}-r^{10y}$	-0.671	0.546	1.323	0.113	2.580	16,233
Core ratio	0.055	0.306	0.507	0.319	0.254	22,201
log(Assets)	9.471	10.449	11.359	10.395	1.429	22,201
Rating	5.000	6.000	8.000	7.010	4.163	14,823
$\log(IP)$	4.562	4.610	4.676	4.616	0.086	23,782
$\Delta { m log}(\mathit{IP})$	-0.011	0.000	0.010	-0.001	0.025	23,529
BLS demand	-25.606	-2.993	14.069	-7.182	30.725	23,137
BLS credit standards	-0.458	4.766	19.366	12.769	23.144	23,137
r^{Loan}	2.569	3.585	4.996	3.826	1.588	16,233
Borrowing ratio	0.000	0.000	0.029	0.036	0.091	22,201

Note: Gov. Bond ratio, Domestic Gov. Bond ratio, Non-Domestic Gov. Bond ratio and Loans ratio have been multiplied by 100.